# LCA Methodology

# Life Cycle Impact Assessment of Pollutants Causing Aquatic Eutrophication

Mark A. J. Huijbregts<sup>1,2</sup> and Jyri Seppälä<sup>3</sup>

- Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics, University of Amsterdam, Nieuwe Prinsengracht 130, NL-1018 VZ, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; e-mail: M.Huijbregts@frw.uva.nl
- <sup>2</sup>Department of Environmental Studies, University of Nijmegen, Toernooiveld 1, NL-6525 ED, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- <sup>3</sup> Finnish Environment Institute, Kesäkatu 6, PB 140, SF-00251 Helsinki, Finland; e-mail: <u>Jyri.Seppala@vyh.fi</u>

# DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1065/lca2001.08.060

Abstract. In life cycle impact assessment (LCIA), limited attention is generally given to a consistent inclusion of a fate analysis in the derivation of aquatic eutrophication potentials. This paper includes fate and potential effects in the calculation of aquatic eutrophication potentials of NH3 and NO, emitted to the air, N and P emitted to water, and N and P emitted to soil. These characterisation factors were calculated for the Netherlands, West-Europe and the world, respectively. Implementation in current LCIA practice is further facilitated by calculating normalisation scores for the Netherlands in 1997, West-Europe in 1995 and the world in 1990. Although the results presented may be a step forward, significant improvements are still needed in the assessment of pollutants causing aquatic eutrophication. In particular, the fate factors representing transport of NO<sub>x</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> air emissions via soils to the aquatic environment should be improved. In addition, differences in the biological availability of nutrients and differences in the sensitivity of aquatic environments should be included in the calculation of effect factors for aquatic eutrophication.

Keywords: Air emissions; aquatic eutrophication; biomass production; fate modelling; impact indicators; LCIA; life cycle impact assessment; nitrogen; phosphorus; soil emissions; water emissions

# Introduction

Up to now, the impact indicator for eutrophication is generally defined as the potential share of eutrophying substances in the biomass formation of aquatic algae (see Heijungs et al. 1992). The actual indicator in use is based on the average carbon:nitrogen:phosphorus (C:N:P) ratio, also called the Redfield ratio, as found by Redfield et al. (1963). A serious drawback of using this impact indicator in LCIA is that it does not represent eutrophying impacts on the terrestrial environment, and that fate, background depositions and ecosystem sensitivity are not included in the current characterisation factors. To improve this situation, Huijbregts et al. (2000) adapted the RAINS model (Alcamo et al. 1990) to calculate terrestrial eutrophication potentials of ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) and nitrogen oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>) air emissions for Europe and a number of European regions, taking fate, background depositions and effects into account. For aquatic eutrophication, however, there are as yet no similar improvements (see Finnveden and Potting 1999).

A first improvement in the current characterisation factors for aquatic eutrophication may be established by including fate factors in the characterisation of anthropogenic emissions to air and soil (Equation 1).

$$AEP_{s,i,e} = AFF_{s,i,e} \times AEF_{s}$$
 (1)

where  $AEP_{s,i,e}$  is the characterisation factor for aquatic eutrophication of compound s emitted in region i to compartment e (kg  $PO_4^{3-}$ -eq·kg<sup>-1</sup>);  $AFF_{s,i,e}$  is the fate factor representing the fraction of compound s emitted in region i to compartment e that is transported to the aquatic environment (-); and  $AEF_s$  is the effect factor representing potential biomass production of phytoplankton per mass unit of compound s relative to  $PO_4^{3-}$  (kg  $PO_4^{3-}$ -eq·kg<sup>-1</sup>).

Huijbregts and Seppälä (2000) outlined a first step towards fate factors to be used in the calculation of aquatic eutrophication potentials of NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> air emissions for Europe and a number of European regions. The results presented, however, were not directly suitable for obtaining aquatic eutrophication potentials for emissions to air, water and soils. This paper elaborates on the analysis of Huijbregts and Seppälä (2000) by including fate factors in the calculation of Dutch, West-European, and global characterisation factors for air and soil emissions causing aquatic eutrophication. To facilitate the use of these new characterisation factors in life cycle impact assessment (LCIA), normalisation scores for the Netherlands in 1997, West-Europe in 1995 and the world in 1990 are also presented.

# 1 Fate Factors

# 1.1 Air emissions

Fate factors for NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> directly emitted to air in region i (AFF<sub>s,i,air</sub>) reflect two pathways (Huijbregts and Seppälä 2000):

- Direct deposition in the aquatic environment (AFF<sub>s,i,air→aqua</sub>);
   and
- (2) run-off and leaching to the aquatic environment after deposition on the soil (AFF<sub>s,i,air→soil→aqua</sub>).

Thus,

$$+ AFF_{s,i,air \rightarrow soil \rightarrow aqua}$$
 (2)

where

AFF, i, air - soil - aqua

$$= \sum_{i} AFF_{s,i,j,air \to soil} \times AFF_{s,j,soil \to aqua}$$
 (3)

The AFF<sub>s,i,j,air $\rightarrow$ soil</sub> is the fraction of pollutant s that is transported from region i to the soil compartment of region j via dry and wet deposition (-); and the AFF<sub>s,j,soil $\rightarrow$ aqua</sub> represents the fraction of pollutant s that is transported from the soil in region j to the aquatic environment via leaching and run-off (-).

Fate factors for phosphorous compounds directly emitted to air can also be calculated by Equation 2.

#### 1.2 Soil emissions

Aquatic fate factors for nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) inputs in the soil of region i (AFF<sub>s,i,soil</sub>), due to animal excretion and fertilisation, for instance, may reflect three main pathways:

(1) Run-off and leaching of (in)organic N and P from the soil to the aquatic environment (AFF<sub>s,i,soil→aqua</sub>);

(2) deposition on the aquatic environment after volatilisation (NH<sub>3</sub>) or transport by wind-driven particles (P) from the soil to the air (AFF<sub>s,i,soil→air→aqua</sub>); and

(3) run-off and leaching to the aquatic environment after volatilisation (NH<sub>3</sub>) or transport by wind-driven particles (P) from the soil to the air and subsequent deposition on the soil (AFF<sub>s,i,soil→air→soil→aqua</sub>).

Thus, fate factors of N and P emissions to the soil in region i transported to the aquatic environment can be calculated by

$$AFF_{s,i,agrisoil} \!=\! AFF_{s,i,agrisoil \to aqua}$$

+ 
$$AFF_{s,i,agrisoil \rightarrow air \rightarrow aqua}$$
 +  $AFF_{s,i,agrisoil \rightarrow air \rightarrow soil \rightarrow aqua}$  (4)

where

$$=AFF_{s,i,soil\rightarrow air} \times AFF_{s,i,air\rightarrow aqua}$$
 (5)

and

$$AFF_{s,i,soil \rightarrow air \rightarrow soil \rightarrow aqua}$$

$$= AFF_{s,i,soil \rightarrow air} \times \sum_{j} AFF_{s,i,j,air \rightarrow soil} \times AFF_{s,j,soil \rightarrow aqua}$$
(6)

The AFF<sub>s,i,soil $\rightarrow$ air</sub> represents the fraction of pollutant s that is transported from the soil of region i to the air via volatilisation or via transport by wind-driven particles (-).

# 2 Characterisation Factors

Equations 2 and 4 were used to calculate the fate factors for NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> air emissions, and N and P soil emissions, respectively. However, due to the lack of available data, it was not possible to connect the AFF<sub>s,i,j,air\rightarrowsoil</sub> and the AFF<sub>s,j,soil\rightarrowaqua</sub> for the receiving region j. As a preliminary solution, it was decided to calculate the AFF<sub>s,i,air\rightarrowsoil\rightarrowaqua</sub> using fate information only related to agricultural soils. It was assumed that

$$\sum_{j} AFF_{s,i,j,air \rightarrow soil} \times AFF_{s,j,soil \rightarrow aqua}$$

$$\approx AFF_{s.i.air \rightarrow soil} \times AFF_{s.i.aerisoil \rightarrow aoua}$$
 (7)

where

$$AFF_{s,i,air \to soil} = 1 - AFF_{s,i,air \to aqua}$$
 (8)

Table 1 lists the partial fate factors and their average values for the Netherlands, West-Europe and the world. The AFF<sub>air-aqua</sub> for NO<sub>x</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> global air emissions were approximated from a long-range transport model for the Northern hemisphere (Galperin et al. 1995, Galperin and Sofiev 1998), taking the ratio of the deposition in the marine environment and the total emission. Depositions in the marine environment of the Northern hemisphere and total emissions were taken directly from Galperin et al. (1995) and Galperin and Sofiev (1998). Deposition in the marine environment of the Southern hemisphere was estimated by multiplying the total deposition exported from the Northern hemisphere (Galperin et al. 1995, Galperin and Sofiev 1998) with the area fraction of the marine environment of the Southern hemisphere (Anonymous 1997). The AFF<sub>air→aqua</sub> for Dutch and West-European NH3 and NOx emissions were derived from country-specific fate information presented by Huijbregts and Seppälä (2000). Their partial fate factors, however, did not include nitrogen deposition in the marine environment outside Europe. As a first approximation, the correction factors for deposition outside the modelling area used in the calculation of the global AFF $_{NH3,air \rightarrow aqua}$  (0.03) and AFF<sub>NOx,air→aqua</sub> (0.06) were added to the partial fate factors  $AFF_{air \rightarrow aqua}$  for  $NH_3$  and  $NO_x$  emissions in the Netherlands and West Europe. The  $AFF_{P,air \rightarrow aqua}$  could not be determined due to a lack of information.

The AFF<sub>N,agrisoil→air</sub> for the Netherlands, Europe and the world was derived from region-specific nitrogen inputs to the agricultural soil via animal excretion and fertilizer use, and corresponding volatilisation data (Van Harmelen et al. 1999, Bouwman et al. 1997). In all cases, the AFF<sub>P,agrisoil→air</sub> was set to zero (Van Harmelen et al. 1999). The Dutch and European AFF<sub>agrisoil→aqua</sub> for N and P were based on the fate information of anthropogenic agricultural soil input for the Netherlands and Europe, respectively (Van Harmelen et al. 1999,

Table 1: Values for partial fate factors in the calculation of characterisation factors of emissions causing aquatic eutrophication

Partial fate factors	Netherlands	Europe	World	Reference
AFF <sub>NH3,air</sub>	0.29	0.19	0.17	a-d
AFF <sub>NOx,air</sub>	0.34	0.27	. 0.32	a-d
AFF <sub>N,agrisoil—aqua</sub>	0.09	0.17	0.30	e-g
AFF <sub>P,agrisoil_paqua</sub>	0.04	0.03	0.03	e-g
AFF <sub>N,agrisoil,air</sub>	0.14	0.18	0.17	e, h
AFF <sub>P,agrisoil—air</sub>	0	0	0	е

AFF = Aquatic Fate Factor; <sup>a</sup> Galperin et al. (1995); <sup>b</sup> Galperin and Soviev (1998); <sup>c</sup> Anonymous (1997); <sup>d</sup> Huijbregts and Seppālā (2000); <sup>e</sup> Van Harmelen et al. (1999); <sup>b</sup> Beusen et al. (1995); <sup>a</sup> Mosier et al. (1998); <sup>b</sup> Bouwman et al. (1997)

Table 2: Fate factors, effect factors and characterisation factors for pollutants causing aquatic eutrophication

Factors Emissions	AFF Netherlands	AFF Europe	AFF World	AEF	AEP Netherlands	AEP Europe	AEP World
NH <sub>3</sub>	0.35	0.33	0.42	0.35	0.12	0.11	0.15
NO <sub>x</sub> (as NO <sub>2</sub> )	0.40	0.39	0.52	0.13	0.05	0.05	0.07
Water	1						
N	1	1	1	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42
P	1 1	1	1	3.06	3.06	3.06	3.06
Agricultural soil	<del>                                     </del>						
N	0.14	0.23	0.37	0.42	0.06	0.10	0.16
Р	0.04	60.0	0.03	3.06	0.12	0.09	0.09

AFF = Aquatic Fate Factor, AEF = Aquatic Effect Factor, AEP = Aquatic Eutrophication Potential

Beusen et al. 1995). The global AFF<sub>agrisoil→aqua</sub> for N was taken from Mosier et al. (1998), while the global AFF<sub>agrisoil→aqua</sub> for P was set equal to the European value due to the lack of available data. For direct emissions to the aquatic compartment, the fate factors were set to 1. Effect factors, based on the Redfield ratio, were taken from Heijungs et al. (1992).

Fate factors and effect factors were multiplied to obtain aquatic eutrophication potentials for NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> air emissions, N and P soil emissions, and N and P water emissions (Equation 1). Table 2 shows the fate factors, effect factors and characterisation factors of emissions causing aquatic eutrophication.

### 3 Normalisation Scores

To facilitate the use of the aquatic eutrophication potentials (AEPs) in LCIA, normalisation scores for three reference situations were calculated. Normalisation is an optional step in the weighting between impact categories (ISO 1998). The procedure provides the decision maker with a measure of the relative contribution from a product system to the impact categories identified by dividing the potential impact per functional unit by the impact score of a reference situation. Total, yearly emissions for a reference year in a reference region are normally used to calculate normalisation scores. ISO (1998) recommends the use of several reference systems to show the consequence on the outcome of the LCIA phase. Here, normalisation scores were calculated for three

reference situations: (1) The Dutch territory in 1997; (2) the European territory in 1995; and (3) the world in 1990. The reference years corresponding to the reference regions were chosen for practical reasons, as they are the most recent years available for all relevant emission data per reference region. For direct N and P emissions to water, however, no (recent) data was available for Europe. In this case, emissions from nine European countries were used to extrapolate to European emission totals. The extrapolation method is based on population numbers, as suggested by Beusen et al. (1995). Population numbers were taken from the World Resources Institute (1996).

In the calculation of normalisation scores, emissions of the Netherlands, Europe and the world were multiplied with the corresponding characterisation factors. Table 3 lists, per reference situation, the normalisation scores for aquatic eutrophication and the relative contribution of the emissions to air, water and agricultural soil to the total scores.

Note that the characterisation factor of N emitted to the agricultural soil as used in the calculation of normalisation scores deviates from the default characterisation factor of N emissions to the agricultural soil, as given in Table 2. To avoid double-counting, the AEP of N emissions to the agricultural soil was set at 0.04-0.13 PO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>eq. instead of 0.06-0.16 PO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>eq. in the calculation of the normalisation scores for the three reference situations. The reason is that the volatilisation of N from the agricultural soil to the air was already included in the calculation of total NH<sub>3</sub> emissions to the air.

Table 3: Normalisation scores for aquatic eutrophication in the Netherlands (1997), West-Europe (1995) and the world (1990)

	Netherlands 1997	Europe 1995 <sup>b-k</sup>	World 1990 <sup>Lo</sup>	
Air (kg PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2</sup> -eq., %)			<del></del>	
NH <sub>3</sub>	2.32 <sub>.</sub> 10 <sup>07</sup> (16.5)	7.27,10 <sup>08</sup> (10.2)	7.66.10 <sup>09</sup> (12.9)	
NO <sub>x</sub> (as NO <sub>2</sub> )	2.36,10°7 (16.8)	9.99,10 <sup>08</sup> (14.0)	6.97,10 <sup>9</sup> (11.7)	
Water (kg PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2</sup> -eq., %)				
N	1.91,10 <sup>07</sup> (13.6)	1.09,10 <sup>09</sup> (15.3)	9.08,10 (15.3)	
P	2.23 <sub>.</sub> 10 <sup>07</sup> (15.9)	1.30,10 <sup>09</sup> (18.2)	9.70.10 <sup>09</sup> (16.3)	
Agricultural soil (kg PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2</sup> -eq., %)	<del></del>		<del></del>	
N	3.62 <sub>.</sub> 10 <sup>07</sup> (25.7)	2.57.10 <sup>09</sup> (35.9)	2.28,10 <sup>10</sup> (38.3)	
P	1.62 <sub>.</sub> 10 <sup>07</sup> (11.5)	4.61,10 <sup>08</sup> (6.4)	3.31,10 (5.5)	
Total (kg PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2</sup> -eq., %)	1.41,1000 (100)	7.15 <sub>.</sub> 10 <sup>09</sup> (100)	5.95,10 <sup>10</sup> (100)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Van Harmelen et al. (1999); <sup>b</sup>Draaijers et al. (1997); <sup>c</sup>EMEP/MSC-W (1999); <sup>d</sup>EMEP/MSC-W (2000); <sup>e</sup>UBA (1998); <sup>l</sup>Stapleton et al. (2000); <sup>g</sup>World Resources Institute (1996); <sup>h</sup>European Communities (1999); <sup>l</sup>Eurostat (1995); <sup>l</sup>FAO (2000); <sup>k</sup>IPCC (1996); <sup>l</sup>Olivier et al. (1996); <sup>m</sup>Olivier et al. (1998); <sup>n</sup>Mosier et al. (1998); <sup>o</sup>Caraco (1995)

#### 4 Discussion

The characterisation factors and normalisation data presented here may be regarded as a first attempt towards the inclusion of a full fate analysis in the LCIA of emissions causing aquatic eutrophication. However, several shortcomings should be solved in future research. First of all, the AFF air - soil - aqua of NO<sub>x</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> emissions should be calculated by taking into account region-specific deposition and subsequent leaching and run-off instead of using fate factors representative for agricultural soils only. Deposition patterns of NH3 and NOx air emissions on soils and corresponding data on the transport of N to aquatic systems (Posch et al. 1999, Seitzinger and Kroeze 1998), may substantially improve the current calculation of the (region-specific) AFF<sub>air-soil-aqua</sub> for NO<sub>x</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> emissions. These models may also be used to calculate fate factors for direct N and P emissions to other soil types than agricultural soils. Secondly, spatial differentiation in fate factors is only included to a minor extent. The results show that differences between the characterisation factors of the Netherlands, Europe and the world remain within a factor of 1.5 for air emissions and a factor of 3 for agricultural soil emissions. However, fate factors for air and soil emissions may vary substantially from region to region due to differences in geographical location towards the marine environment, and different conditions of climate, plant uptake, land use and soil types (Brentstrup et al. 2000, Grennfelt et al. 1994, Huijbregts and Seppälä 2000). If it is expected that life cycle emissions of eutrophying pollutants are dominantly situated in a few regions, the corresponding region-specific fate factors may be needed in LCIA. Region-specific information is currently available for the AFF<sub>NH3/NOx,air $\rightarrow$ aqua of 44 European regions (Huijbregts and Seppälä 2000). In addition, the region-spe-</sub> cific partial fate factors  $AFF_{N,soil \to air}$  and  $AFF_{N,soil \to aqua}$  may be derived from Brentstrup et al. (2000) or from Posch et al. (1999). It may also be useful to derive activity-specific fate factors for N and P emissions to the agricultural soil (AFF<sub>s,agrisoil $\rightarrow$ aqua</sub> and AFF<sub>N,agrisoil $\rightarrow$ air). Particularly in LCAs of agricultural products or processes, activity-specific fate fac-</sub> tors may substantially increase the reliability of the results. As shown by various authors (Bouwman et al. 1997, Brentstrup et al. 2000, Cederberg and Mattsson 2000, Matthews 1994), the application of different types of (in)organic fertilizers and farm management may result in different fate factors for emissions to the agricultural soil. Finally, there may be a need for the derivation of the fate factors for direct P emissions to the air. Using only the effect factor in the assessment of P, air emissions will lead to a substantial overestimation of LCIA outcomes for product life cycles with large P emissions to the air.

The current use of effect factors solely based on the Redfield ratio also needs further improvement. The first problem to be tackled is that the total N and P released from various anthropogenic sources may have different capabilities to contribute to aquatic eutrophication, because the chemical forms of nutrients may differ (e.g. Ekholm 1998). In addition, the differences in sensitivity of aquatic ecosystems towards eutrophication are not taken into account in the current calculations, implying that a unit release to the aquatic environment is judged equally important for all aquatic ecosystems. Finally, the concept of 'limiting nutrient' (see Finnveden and Potting 1999) was disregarded. This means that all aquatic ecosystems are currently assumed to be N as well P-limited, leading to a conservative LCIA outcome for aquatic eutrophication. As pointed out by Seppälä (1999), it is possible to include the biological availability of total nutrient loads and the spatial aspects of limiting nutrient in the determination of AEPs for eutrophying emissions in Finland. Further research, however, is needed to extend his analysis to other regions.

# 5 Conclusion

The characterisation factors presented here may be regarded as a significant step forward in the life cycle impact assessment of air and agricultural soil emissions causing aquatic eutrophication. The inclusion of fate factors reduces the importance of N and P emissions to soil towards aquatic eutrophication with a factor of 2.5–7.5 and 25–35, respectively. Fate factors for NO<sub>x</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> emissions to air also decrease the aquatic eutrophication potentials of these emissions with a factor of 2–2.5 and 2–3, respectively. Implementation in current LCIA practice is further facilitated by presenting normalisation scores for the Netherlands in 1997, Europe in

1995 and the world in 1990. Nevertheless, important improvements are still needed in the calculation of both fate and effect factors for aquatic eutrophication. Priority should be given to improve the current fate factors for the transport of  $NO_x$  and  $NH_3$  air emissions via soil to the aquatic environment and to include differences in the biological availability of nutrients and differences in the sensitivity of the aquatic environment in the effect factors for aquatic eutrophication.

# References

- Alcamo J, Shaw R, Hordijk L (eds) (1990): The RAINS model of acidification. Science and strategies in Europe. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, the Netherlands
- Anonymous (1997): Oxford Encyclopedic World Atlas, 4th edition. Oxford University Press, New York, USA
- Beusen AHW, Klepper O, Meinardi CR (1995): Modelling the flow of nitrogen and phosphorus in Europe: from loads to coastal seas. Water, Science and Technology 31 (8) 141-145
- Bouwman AF, Lee DS, Asman WAH, Dentener FJ, Van der Hoek KW, Olivier JGJ (1997): A global high-resolution emission inventory for ammonia (Paper 97GB02266). Global Biogeochemical Cycles 11 (4) 561-588
- Brentrup F, Küsters J, Lammel J, Kuhlmann H (2000): Methods to estimate on-field nitrogen emissions from crop production as an input to LCA studies in the agricultural sector. Int J LCA 5 (6) 349-357
- Caraco NF (1995): Influence of human populations on P transfers to aquatic systems: a regional scale study using large rivers. In: Tiessen H (ed) Phosphorus in the global environment. Transfers, cycles and management. John Wiley & Sons, West Sussex, United Kingdom. Ch 14
- Cederberg C, Mattsson B (2000): Life cycle assessment of milk production A comparison of conventional and organic farming. Journal of Cleaner Production 8, 49-60
- Draaijers GPJ, Berdowski JJM, Leneman H, Rood GA, De Vries DJ, Zonneveld EA (1997): Emissies in Nederland. Trends, thema's en doelgroepen 1995 en ramingen 1996. Publicatiereeks Emissieregistratie Nr 38. Hoofdinspectie Milieuhygiëne, The Hague, The Netherlands (in Dutch)
- Ekholm A (1998): Algal-available phosphorus originating from agriculture and municipalities. Monographs of the Boreal Environment Research 11. Finnish Environment Institute, Finland
- EMEP/MSC-W (1999): EMEP emission data. Status report 1999. Research report no. 26. The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway
- EMEP/MSC-W (2000): Emission Data. The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway. <a href="http://www.emep.int/emissions.html">http://www.emep.int/emissions.html</a>
- European Communities (1998): Europe's environment: statistical compendium for the second assessment. Compiled jointly by Eurostat, European Commission and the European Environment Agency. Office for official publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg
- European Communities (1999): Towards environmental pressure indicators for the EU. First edition. Office for official publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg
- Eurostat (1995): Europe's environment. Statistical compendium for the Dobriš assessment. Office for official publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg
- FAO (2000): FAOSTAT database. Agricultural data. http://apps.fao.org/
- Finnveden G, Potting J (1999): Eutrophication as an impact category. State of the art and research needs. Int J LCA 4 (6) 311-314
- Galperin M, Sofiev M, Afinogenova O (1995): Long term modelling of airborne pollution within the northern hemisphere. Water, air and soil pollution 85, 2051-2056
- Galperin MV, Sofiev MA (1998): The long-range transport of ammonia and ammonium in the northern hemisphere. Atmospheric environment 32 (3) 373-380

- Heijungs R, Guinée JB, Huppes G, Lankreijer RM, Udo de Haes HA, Wegener Sleeswijk A, Ansems AMM, Eggels PG, Van Duin R, De Goede HP (1992): Environmental life cycle assessment of products. Guidelines and backgrounds. Centre of Environmental Sciences, Leiden, The Netherlands
- Huijbregts MAJ, Seppälä J (2000): Towards region-specific, European fate factors for airborne nitrogen compounds causing aquatic eutrophication. Int J LCA 5 (2) 65-67
- Huijbregts MAJ, Schöpp W, Verkuijlen E, Heijungs R, Reijnders L (2000): Spatially explicit characterization of acidifying and eutrophying air pollution in life-cycle assessment. Journal Industrial Ecology 4 (3) 75-92
- IPCC (1996): Revised 1996 IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories. Reference manual (Volume 3). http://www.ipcc.ch/
- ISO (1998): Environmental management Life Cycle assessment part 3: Life cycle impact assessment. International Organization for Standardization, Committee draft May, ISO/CD 14042.3, Geneva
- Matthews E (1994): Nitrogenous fertilizers: global distribution of consumption and associated emissions of nitrous oxide and ammonia. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 8 (4) 411-439
- Mosier A, Kroeze C, Nevison C, Oenema O, Seitzinger S, Van Cleemput O (1998): Closing the global N<sub>2</sub>O budget: nitrous oxide emissions through the agricultural nitrogen cycle. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 52 (2/3) 225-248
- Olivier JGJ, Bouwman AF, Van der Maas CWM, Berdowski JJM, Veldt C, Bloos JPJ, Visschedijk AJH, Zandveld PYJ, Haverlag JL (1996): Description of EDGAR Version 2.0. A set of global emission inventories of greenhouse gases and ozone-depleting substances for all anthropogenic and most natural sources on a per country basis and on 1°x1° grid. Report nr 771060002. National Institute of Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, The Netherlands
- Olivier JGJ, Bouwman AF, Van der Hoek KW, Berdowski JJM (1998): Part 4: Emissions – Global air emission inventories for anthropogenic sources of NO<sub>x</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O in 1990. Environmental Pollution 102 (1) 135-148
- Posch M, De Smet PAM, Hettelingh J-P, Downing RJ (Eds) (1999): Calculation and mapping of critical thresholds in Europe. Status report 1999. Coordination Centre for Effects, National Institute of Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, The Netherlands
- Redfield AC, Ketchum BH, Richards FA (1963): The influence of organisms on the composition of sea-water. In: The sea: ideas and observations on progress in the study of seas. Vol 2. MN Hill (ed), Interscience Publishers, London
- Seitzinger SP, Kroeze C (1998): Global distribution of nitrous oxide production and N inputs in fresh water and coastal marine ecosystems. Global biogeochemical cycles 12 (1) 93-113
- Seppälä J (1999): Decision analysis as a tool for life cycle impact assessment. In: Klöpffer W, Hutzinger O (Eds) LCA Documents Vol 4, ecomed publishers, Landsberg, Germany
- Stapleton L, Lehane M, Toner P (Eds) (2000): Ireland's Environment. A millenium report. Environmental Protection Agency, Wexford, Ireland
- UBA (1998): Environmental data Germany 1998. Umweltbundesamt, Berlin, Germany
- Van Harmelen AK, Coenen PWHG, Draaijers GPJ, Leneman H, Nagelhout D, Rood GA, De Vries DJ, Zonneveld EA (1999): Emissies en afval in Nederland. Jaarrapport 1997 en ramingen 1998. Rapportagereeks doelgroepmonitoring. nr 1. CCDM, Hoofdinspectie Milieuhygiëne. The Hague, The Netherlands (in Dutch)
- World Resources Institute (1996): World Resources. A guide to the global environment. Oxford University Press, New York, USA

Received: November 8th, 2000 Accepted: July 17th, 2001 OnlineFirst: August 3rd, 2001